

---

# SINO-PLATONIC PAPERS

Number 23

April, 1991

---

## Tracks of the Tao, Semantics of Zen

by  
Victor H. Mair

Victor H. Mair, Editor  
*Sino-Platonic Papers*  
Department of East Asian Languages and Civilizations  
University of Pennsylvania  
Philadelphia, PA 19104-6305 USA  
vmair@sas.upenn.edu  
www.sino-platonic.org

---

**S**INO-PLATONIC PAPERS is an occasional series edited by Victor H. Mair. The purpose of the series is to make available to specialists and the interested public the results of research that, because of its unconventional or controversial nature, might otherwise go unpublished. The editor actively encourages younger, not yet well established, scholars and independent authors to submit manuscripts for consideration. Contributions in any of the major scholarly languages of the world, including Romanized Modern Standard Mandarin (MSM) and Japanese, are acceptable. In special circumstances, papers written in one of the Sinitic topolects (*fangyan*) may be considered for publication.

Although the chief focus of *Sino-Platonic Papers* is on the intercultural relations of China with other peoples, challenging and creative studies on a wide variety of philological subjects will be entertained. This series is **not** the place for safe, sober, and stodgy presentations. *Sino-Platonic Papers* prefers lively work that, while taking reasonable risks to advance the field, capitalizes on brilliant new insights into the development of civilization.

The only style-sheet we honor is that of consistency. Where possible, we prefer the usages of the *Journal of Asian Studies*. Sinographs (*hanzi*, also called tetragraphs [*fangkuaizi*]) and other unusual symbols should be kept to an absolute minimum. *Sino-Platonic Papers* emphasizes substance over form.

Submissions are regularly sent out to be refereed and extensive editorial suggestions for revision may be offered. Manuscripts should be double-spaced with wide margins and submitted in duplicate. A set of "Instructions for Authors" may be obtained by contacting the editor.

Ideally, the final draft should be a neat, clear camera-ready copy with high black-and-white contrast. Contributors who prepare acceptable camera-ready copy will be provided with 25 free copies of the printed work. All others will receive 5 copies.

*Sino-Platonic Papers* is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 2.5 License. To view a copy of this license, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/2.5/> or send a letter to Creative Commons, 543 Howard Street, 5th Floor, San Francisco, California, 94105, USA.

Please note: When the editor goes on an expedition or research trip, all operations (including filling orders) may temporarily cease for up to two or three months at a time. In such circumstances, those who wish to purchase various issues of *SPP* are requested to wait patiently until he returns. If issues are urgently needed while the editor is away, they may be requested through Interlibrary Loan.

N.B.: Beginning with issue no. 171, *Sino-Platonic Papers* will be published electronically on the Web. Issues from no. 1 to no. 170, however, will continue to be sold as paper copies until our stock runs out, after which they too will be made available on the Web.

---

## Tracks of the Tao, Semantics of Zen

Victor H. Mair

In the counter-culture that flowered during the sixties, withered during the seventies, and almost died during the eighties, two of the most ubiquitous rallying cries were Tao and Zen. The latter, indeed, had become enormously popular even earlier with the Beat Generation who were influenced by Alan Watts and D. T. Suzuki. The former, of course, was well known to Sinologues and Sinophiles for at least a century before their time.

Zen and Tao epitomize the quest for an intuitive approach to life that stands in opposition (or perhaps, to make the point more nicely, as a complement) to traditional Western rationality. A trip to the library reveals that Zen can be applied fruitfully to the following areas of human endeavor: running, jogging, archery, baseball, martial arts, motorcycle maintenance, photography, assembly language, tea drinking, pottery making, writing, painting, poetry, dancing, flower arrangement, photography, and helping(!). Apparently, even the reclusive J. D. Salinger relied upon Zen in crafting his inimitable fiction without being wholly aware of its capacity to transform our vision.

Recently, it would seem that Tao has surpassed Zen in the number of activities that have been identified as benefiting from its illuminating powers. Whole tomes have been written on the Tao as it pertains to cricket, architecture, management, power, voice, Pooh, sailing, science, relationships, health, sex, longevity, leadership, meditation, onliness(?), freedom, sage religion, nutrition, being, Mao Tse-tung, psychology, medicine, organization, love, communication, programming, the species(?), balanced diet, physics, acupuncture, cooking, symbols, water, Tai-chi (shadow boxing), and health. I have listed these subjects in no particular order to show how Tao reaches into every nook and cranny of our existence.

Of late, still another trilateral talisman has been actively encroaching upon various fields of endeavor. This is pert, little Joy which began inconspicuously in the kitchen with cooking (and eating), moved quickly into the bedroom as a guide for sex, then shifted to the study as a stimulation for lex. In the meantime, Joy has infused sports such as running

and flying with newfound pleasure and (a)vocations such as building, gardening, hand weaving, cataloguing, and computing with untold zest, but it remains far behind Tao and Zen in the quest for committed adherents, doubtless because it makes no pretense at being mysterious or awesome. Joy is but a poor country cousin of Tao and Zen.

The canonical formulations of books and articles illustrating the intricacies of these two elusive New Age shibboleths are *The Tao of...* and *Zen in...* or *Zen and...* This may indicate why Tao has recently been more successful than Zen in annexing various spheres of our lives. Tao is thought of as subsuming entire fields, whereas Zen merely informs or parallels them. Be that as it may, the combined range of Tao and Zen as we near the beginning of the third millennium is absolutely astonishing.

Two tiny words of three letters each! These terms from East Asian religions are now part of the daily discourse of midwestern quilters, California surfers, and Maine fishermen. Together, they have partially displaced another three letter word of universal import that is now usually uttered only as an oath or perfunctorily in prayers. How did Tao and Zen enter our vocabulary? And what do these two extraordinarily powerful words really mean? This will require a somewhat lengthy excursion into the neglected realm of philology, but I shall try to make it as painless and entertaining as possible.

While doing background research for my recent translation of the *Tao Te Ching: The Classic Book of Integrity and the Way* (New York: Bantam, 1990), I stumbled upon a phenomenal discovery: Tao (normally translated as "the Way") appears ultimately to be related to our English word "track." Since this equivalence is not immediately obvious from the current pronunciation of the two words in Modern Standard Mandarin and in Modern English, it will be necessary to reconstruct earlier forms and to point out various cognates.

Everyone is aware that Sinitic languages, dialects, and topolects (if recorded at all) are usually written with Chinese characters (also called "tetragraphs" [*fangkuaizi*] because of their squareness, or "sinographs" [*hanzi*] because of their ethnic affiliation). What is not so well known is the fact that the shapes of the characters have changed radically since their emergence around 1200 B.C.E. More importantly, the sounds of Sinitic words have altered tremendously since that group of languages split off from the parent Sino-Tibetan stock during the period from about 7000 to 3500 B.C.E. Furthermore, we must keep in

mind that the tetragraphic system is only one of numerous possible scripts that might be used to write Sinitic languages. For example, romanization has been used effectively in China since the days of the great Jesuit father, Matteo Ricci (1552-1610) and is now, in fact, the first script that all Chinese school children learn in the People's Republic. For those who are interested in pursuing these topics, I recommend three marvelous books by John DeFrancis: *Nationalism and Language Reform in China*, *The Chinese Language: Fact and Fantasy*, and *Visible Speech*. Also highly informative and reliable are S. Robert Ramsey's *Chinese Languages* and Jerry Norman's *Chinese*. The key points to make here are simply that Sinitic languages existed long before the Sinographs were invented and that their phonological evolution was independent of the script.

The basic meaning of Tao is "way" or "road." By extension, it comes to mean "method" and, by still further extension, the cosmic principle underlying the universe. We need not be detained by a separate Sinitic word, used only in Classical Chinese, that was written with the same character but that meant "say, speak." A fuller form of Tao in its original signification is an ancient bisyllabic word that is pronounced *tao-lu* (i.e., dow-loo) in Modern Standard Mandarin but may be roughly reconstructed for Old Sinitic as *duh(g)-ra(gh)*. Old Sinitic is dated to approximately the sixth century B.C.E., about the same time as various Chinese philosophical schools which took Tao as their foundation began to coalesce.

The ancient sound of Tao in its fuller form immediately calls to mind Hebrew *derekh* ("way, path, principle"), Arabic *draġa* or *durūġ* ("to go, walk, follow a course") and *tariq* ("a religiophilosophical method"), Akkadian *daraggu* ("path"), and Jibbāli *darag* ("to become used to walking"). Could it be just a mere coincidence that these words in Sinitic and Semitic both sound alike and share virtually the same range of meaning?

Due caution would prompt one to avoid seduction by such beguiling similarities were it not for the fact that the same combination of sound and meaning shows up in dozens of other languages from different families. Thus from Dravidian we have Tamil *tāri* ("way, road, path, right mode") and *tarai* ("way, path"), Kota *adary* ("road, path"), Kannada and Tulu *dāri* ("way, road, path"), Telegu *dāri* ("way, road, path, manner, mode"), Tamil *atar* ("way, path, public road, rule"), and Baḍaga *dāri*, Kuṛumba *dari*, Irula *dadda*, and Malayalam *theru*, all of which mean "road." Finnish *tola* means "track, path, way, (right) course." Japanese *dōro*, borrowed from Sinitic *tao-lu*, is a common term for

road, and the native Japanese word *tōri* means "road, street, way, manner." In Thai, *dtrong* signifies "direct" or "straight" like a road, and *trug* is a "lane" or "alley." Bouton, a Malay language, has *dara* for "road" and Indonesian has *tjara* for "manner, way." The Australian aborigines speak of paths as *turi(n)gas* and use *thoorgool* to express the sense of "straight, direct." The Umaon, an aboriginal people of Central India, have *dāhāri* as their word for road.

One of the most interesting words I encountered in my researches is Manchu *doro* which has the full range of meaning that *tao(-lu)* does in Sinitic: "[correct] way, cosmic principle, ceremony," etc. Indeed, *doro* was used as an extremely precise translation of Tao in Sino-Manchu texts. Conversely, *doro* was treated as a native Manchu word by Chinese scholars and its two syllables were transcribed into Sinitic with tetragraphs used for their sound rather than for their meaning. The usages of the two words *doro* and *tao(-lu)* are so uncannily identical that one is tempted to believe they have a common source, for neither is considered to be a borrowing of the other. Since both words are very old in their respective languages, their presumed common ancestor must be more ancient than the language families in which they are embedded. Manchu *doro*, incidentally, is identical with the word for "way" in Jurchen and is echoed by *tergheghur* ("road") in Mongolian, sister Altaic languages.

Moving closer to home, the Russian and Ukrainian word for road is *doroga*, Polish has *droga* (compare *tor* meaning "course, track"), and Czech *taraha*. Bohemian has *draha* for "way, track" and in Old Bohemian the same word signified "lane between fields." It is clear that all of these Slavic terms are cognate with Serbo-Croatian *draga* ("valley"); in my Bantam book and in a separate Sinologically oriented monograph, I have much more to say about the archetypal path of human self-discovery that follows the bottom of a valley.

Rumanian *drum* and Modern Greek *dromos*, both of which mean "road," bear some resemblance to the other words I have been discussing, but should be set aside because they derive from an Ancient Greek word meaning "run." The same goes for Bulgarian and Serbo-Croatian *drum* which signifies "highway." Gaelic *turus* ("journey"), however, probably belongs with the whole complex of words cited above.

By now, the reader is certainly wondering whether all of these seemingly related words have a common root. A close examination of the English word "track" may help to

reveal what it might be. "Track" entered the English language sometime before 1470. It appears in Malory's *Morte d'Arthur* and was undoubtedly borrowed from Middle French *trac* ("track of horses, trace"). The latter was itself borrowed from some Germanic source such as Middle Dutch *treck* ("pull, haul, draw") which is related to Middle Dutch and Middle High German *trecken* ("to draw, to pull") and Old High German *trehhan* or *trechan* ("to draw, pull, shove"). The same etymon shows up in a slightly different guise as "trek" which we borrowed into English sometime around 1850 from Afrikaans. The Afrikaans word, which originally meant "to travel or migrate slowly (by ox wagon) [a hallowed Indo-European custom!]" is derived from Dutch *trekken* ("to march, journey") and this, in turn, takes us right back to Middle Dutch *trecken* and Old High German *trechan*. The question, then, becomes one of seeking the Germanic root for these predecessors of "track" and "trek."

When we pursue *trecken* and *trechan* to their earliest antecedents, we arrive at Indo-European *\*dh(e)rāgh* ("to draw, drag on the ground"). This is reassuring, for the same root lies behind all of the Slavic words such as Russian *doroga* ("road") that we met previously. We are reminded, furthermore, of the old colloquial English expression (Cockney and other low forms) "drag" in the sense of "street" or "road." This usage is still current in America in the phrase "main drag," i.e., main street.

There is, however, a whole series of other English words that seem related but need to be traced back separately. "Trace" itself is one of the more obvious candidates to begin with. We find it already in early Middle English with the meaning of "path" or "course." This is another word that we borrowed from French, Old French to be more precise, but this time the trail leads us not through Germanic ways but along Romantic routes through Vulgar Latin *tractiāre* ("to drag," unattested) and Latin *tractus* ("a dragging"). Huge vistas of meaning unfold from these humble Latin origins, yielding in English "tract, tractable, traction, tractor, train, trait, trail, trawl, treat," and, with prepositions, "abstract, attract, contract, detract, distract, entreat, extract, portray, protract, retract, retreat, subtract, subtrahend," and so forth.

Latin *tractus* also has its Indo-European root and it is *\*tragh* ("to draw, pull"). This is interesting, because it is very close both in meaning and sound to *\*dh(e)rāgh*, the Indo-European root for Germanic *trechan*. As a matter of fact, these two roots are considered to be rhyming variants of each other. For fear of inundating my reader with a flood of

completely unfamiliar words, I have not mentioned cognates and reflexes in Sanskrit, Avestan, Lithuanian, Old Norse, Gothic, Spanish, Italian, and other Indo-European languages that stem from *\*tragh* and *\*dh(e)rāgh*.

Suffice it to say that there are whole galaxies of wonderful lexical items related to these Indo-European roots just waiting to be explored by the curious verbophile. There is, for example, little doubt that Polish *droga* and English "track" share a fundamental relationship. But are we justified in linking them to Old Sinitic *duh(g)-ra(gh)*, Tamil *tāri*, Manchu *doro*, and all the dozens of other words from different language families that resemble them in both sound and meaning?

It would seem reasonable that a portion of these words approximate each other only through sheer coincidence. On the other hand, the mathematical probability that all of these correspondences of sound and meaning would have developed purely by chance is incalculably small. This is particularly the case since we are dealing with a number of polysyllabic words which are much harder to match up than monosyllables. There is good reason to believe, moreover, that many of them share a more basic kinship. Since the mid-1960s, a small group of brilliant Soviet scholars headed by V. Illič-Svityč and A. Dolgopolsky has been delineating with increasing precision several groups of proto-*proto-language families* (or simply "macro-families"). The best known of these is Nostratic which brings together Indo-European, Afro-Asiatic (=Hamito-Semitic), Kartvelian (South Caucasian), Uralic, Altaic, and Dravidian. It is quite likely, therefore, that many of the *t/d•r(•g)* words for "way, road" I have given above belonging to these families may actually derive from a period before they split off from Nostratic.

What, then, of those words from languages that belong to other macro-families such as Dene-Caucasian (North Caucasian, Sino-Tibetan, Yeniseian, and Eyak-Athapascan) that were current from about 15,000-8,000 B.C.E.? If we assume that at least some of them are related to Nostratic *t/d•r(•g)* by something other than utter happenstance, there are only two possible explanations for this phenomenon: 1) they were already in the parent macro-macro-language family (sometimes called Proto-World -- roughly 25,000 B.C.E.) before it (d)evolved into Nostratic, Dene-Caucasian, Amerind, and so forth, or 2) they were borrowed from Nostratic or its daughter languages into the other languages where they are found. We now know that the words for "bovine," "chariot," "wheel," "horse," "dog," "honey," "bee," "magic," "belt-hook," and hundreds of other important

ideas, animals, and objects were transferred from Indo-European languages into Sinitic already by the first millennium B.C.E. In many cases, these correspondences can be demonstrated both archeologically and phonologically.

Ways, roads, paths, trails, and tracks would have been useful words for speakers of Nostratic, Dene-Caucasian, and the other macro-families, so it is possible that they may have shared the *t/d•r(•g)* etymon for "drag, draw, track" before they proliferated into a veritable Babel of tongues. But I rather doubt that these paleolithic ancestors of ours would have abstracted from that etymon the notion of a cosmic principle. Consequently, I am much more inclined to believe that *t/d•r(•g)* in the sense of cosmic principle was at best a very late Nostratic development, most likely having arisen when several of the daughter languages had already separated off from the mother tongue. Or perhaps it was first conceived only among Semitic languages since we do find it in Hebrew and in Arabic. Subsequently, it may have spread to individual languages of other families, some of which quite likely already had in their vocabularies a *t/d•r(•g)* word signifying "track." Sino-Tibetan appears not to have had such a word because it does not show up in old Tibetan and other early members of the family.

Regardless of who devised it or when, the concept of a universal way is a singularly fitting and useful tool for thinking about fundamental philosophical matters. Given that we lack this notion in Indo-European languages, it is appropriate that we have adopted it from Sinitic (which seems, as we have seen, to have taken it from Semitic). Our appreciation of Tao is enhanced, however, when we realize that its primary signification is "track" and that it may well be related to the English word in the distant past.

To demonstrate how naturally productive Tao/track is as a vehicle for abstract thought, I shall mention only one instance from contemporary philosophy. In his explanation of ethics, Robert Nozick, the conservative (libertarian?) Harvard thinker, has adumbrated the notion of **tracking value**. It is intriguing that Chad Hansen, an historian of Chinese philosophy, has been inspired by Nozick to declare that "To follow Tao is to track value." This is an extremely apt formulation, far more so than Hansen himself could have imagined.

Because its history is much more specific and its time depth is much shorter, we will be able to dispose of Zen more quickly than we did with Tao. Zen is the Japanese

pronunciation of the Sinograph that is pronounced Ch'an in Modern Standard Mandarin. The current Japanese pronunciation is much closer than Modern Standard Mandarin to that of Middle Sinitic, *ʒ'än*, when the term was first imported from India along with Buddhism by the Chinese over a thousand years ago. Actually, both Zen and Ch'an are abbreviated versions of the full expression which, in Middle Sinitic, would have been *ʒ'än-na*. Just as Tao is short for *tao-lu*, so are Zen and Ch'an short for Zenna and Ch'an-na.

*ʒ'än-na* was intended to serve as a Middle Sinitic transcription of Sanskrit *dhyāna* (Pali [the scriptural language of the early Indian Buddhists] *jhāna*) which means "meditation, thought, reflection." Thus, when we say Zen or Ch'an, what we really are expressing is the idea of meditation and the insight that it presumably affords. The cognate third-person singular present in Sanskrit was *dhyāti* ("he thinks, meditates, fancies, imagines") and the Indic verbal root was *dhyai* ("to think, imagine, contemplate, meditate, call to mind, recollect"). All of these meanings derive from the notion of "seeing" or "observing" as is obvious by comparing the Sanskrit base *dhī* or *dhyā* ("think" ["observe"]) with the cognate *dī* ("look at, observe") in Avestan, the ancient Iranian language used by Zoroaster (Zarathustra).

We may reconstruct the Indo-European root for Zen (more properly *dhyāna*) as *\*dheye* ("to see, look"). Lengthening this root yields a hypothetical *\*dhyā* and suffixing of the latter gives us *\*dhyā-mṇ*. In accordance with a regularly expected sound change from Indo-European *dh-* to Greek *s-*, this is recognized by historical linguists as the predecessor of Doric *sāma* and Greek *sēma* ("sign" or, more literally, "thing seen") and is undoubtedly cognate with the Khotanese (Middle Iranian) *śśāma* ("sign"). Nothing extraordinary happened with the potent Greek *sēma* until about the seventeenth century when European physicians created a branch of medicine called semeiotics which dealt with the interpretation of symptoms of disease. Already by 1641 Bishop John Wilkins, the first secretary of the Royal Society, had enlarged the usage of the term "semeiotics" in such a fashion that it was applied to the study of meaning as conveyed by signs. By the nineteenth century, this had developed into semiotics, the science of signs and symbols in the broadest sense, particularly as described by the American polymath Charles Peirce (1839-1914). The etymological heritage of semiotics may be most efficiently recorded as follows: < Greek *sēmeiotikós* ("observant of signs") < *sēmeiōsis* ("indication," from a hypothetical earlier *\*sēmeiōtis*) < *sēmeiōn* ("to signal") < *sēmeion* ("sign") < *sēma* ("thing seen") < Indo-European *\*dhyā-mṇ* ("what is seen") < Indo-European *\*dheye* ("to see, look").

The Greek word *sēma* also took another trip that resulted in our word "semantics" ("the study or science of linguistic meaning"). Following its trail backward in time, we first borrowed the French adjective *sémantique* which had been coined in 1883 by the linguist Michel Bréal from Greek *sēmantikós* ("significant, having meaning"). This, in turn, came from *sēmáinein* ("to show, indicate by a sign") which naturally derived from our old friend *sēma*. By 1893 an *-s* was added to "semantic" to create an English noun, and the science of which former senator S. I. Hayakawa became one of the foremost practitioners was born.

A forerunner of semantics was semasiology. This was borrowed in 1847 from German *Semasiologie* which had been coined by the philologist Christian Karl Reisig (1792-1829). Other English words deriving from Greek *sēma* are "semanteme," "semaphore," "sematic," "semene," "diseme," "triseme," and "semiology." When we wish to express the idea of the representation of meaning, we instinctively turn to this handy Hellenic etymon. There can be no more intellectually stimulating and challenging experience than grappling with the idea of meaning and its manipulation through signs and symbols. Yet it is sobering to realize that, when we do so, we are basically speaking about things seen.

Like Greek *sēma*, in the final analysis Japanese Zen goes back to the innocent Indo-European root *\*dheye* ("to see, look"). Zen, then, is a kind of profound inner seeing or vision. The human mind has constructed an elaborate edifice of discourse that permits us to talk with facility about such rarefied subjects as meditational insight and a cosmic principle. Lest we become arrogant and pompous in our attempts to extract significance from and impose order on the universe, we would do well to recall that even such abstruse notions as Tao and Zen are linguistic constructs whose beginnings are as humble as our own.

Please turn to the following page for a postscript.

Postscript (January 1, 1991; the first draft of the paper itself was completed on July 4, 1990):

There is in Anglo-Indian usage the curious word *daróga*, probably adopted from Persian into Hindi, which has the meaning "local (native) Chief of Police." The most likely derivation of the word is from Mongol *doroga*, in which language it signified the governor of a province or city, a much more exalted position than what it became under the Raj. Spread all the way across the Eurasian continent to Byzantium and Moscow by the conquering Mongol hordes, the origins of the word became lost in obscurity.

What is most fascinating is that the Sinitic word *tao* (i.e., Old Sinitic *duh[g]-ra[gh]*) from ancient times also had this same exact meaning. *Tao*, as Charles O. Hucker informs us in his magisterial *A Dictionary of Official Titles in Imperial China*, means "a path, a way, hence the rather loosely delineated jurisdiction of an itinerant supervisory official," i.e., a circuit. The same usage passed into both Korean and Japanese (*dō*) with the meaning of "district" or "province."

This suggests, among a mountain of other data that might be adduced, that Sinitic and Altaic (not to mention Indo-European) have had a closely intertwined relationship for millennia.

## Previous Issues

Number	Date	Author	Title	Pages
1	Nov. 1986	Victor H. Mair <i>University of Pennsylvania</i>	<a href="#">The Need for an Alphabetically Arranged General Usage Dictionary of Mandarin Chinese: A Review Article of Some Recent Dictionaries and Current Lexicographical Projects</a>	31
2	Dec. 1986	Andrew Jones <i>Hiroshima</i>	The Poetics of Uncertainty in Early Chinese Literature	45
3	March 1987	Victor H. Mair <i>University of Pennsylvania</i>	A Partial Bibliography for the Study of Indian Influence on Chinese Popular Literature	iv, 214
4	Nov. 1987	Robert M. Sanders <i>University of Hawaii</i>	<a href="#">The Four Languages of "Mandarin"</a>	14
5	Dec. 1987	Eric A. Havelock <i>Vassar College</i>	<a href="#">Chinese Characters and the Greek Alphabet</a>	4
6	Jan. 1988	J. Marshall Unger <i>University of Hawaii</i>	<a href="#">Computers and Japanese Literacy: Nihonzin no Yomikaki Nōryoku to Konpyuta</a>	13
7	Jan. 1988	Chang Tsung-tung <i>Goethe-Universität</i>	Indo-European Vocabulary in Old Chinese	i, 56
8	Feb. 1988	various	<a href="#">Reviews (I)</a>	ii, 39
9	Dec. 1988	Soho Machida <i>Daitoku-ji, Kyoto</i>	Life and Light, the Infinite: A Historical and Philological Analysis of the Amida Cult	46
10	June 1989	Pratoom Angurarohita <i>Chulalongkorn University Bangkok</i>	<a href="#">Buddhist Influence on the Neo-Confucian Concept of the Sage</a>	31
11	July 1989	Edward Shaughnessy <i>University of Chicago</i>	<a href="#">Western Cultural Innovations in China, 1200 BC</a>	8



Previous Issues, *cont.*

Number	Date	Author	Title	Pages
12	Aug. 1989	Victor H. Mair <i>University of Pennsylvania</i>	The Contributions of T'ang and Five Dynasties Transformation Texts ( <i>p'ien-wen</i> ) to Later Chinese Popular Literature	71
13	Oct. 1989	Jiaosheng Wang <i>Shanghai</i>	<a href="#">The Complete Ci-Poems of Li Qingzhao: A New English Translation</a>	xii, 122
14	Dec. 1989	various	<a href="#">Reviews (II)</a>	69
15	Jan. 1990	George Cardona <i>University of Pennsylvania</i>	On Attitudes Toward Language in Ancient India	19
16	March 1990	Victor H. Mair <i>University of Pennsylvania</i>	Three Brief Essays Concerning Chinese Tocharistan	16
17	April 1990	Heather Peters <i>University Museum of Philadelphia</i>	Tattooed Faces and Stilt Houses: Who Were the Ancient Yue?	28
18	May 1990	Victor H. Mair <i>University of Pennsylvania</i>	Two Non-Tetragraphic Northern Sinitic Languages  a. Implications of the Soviet Dungan Script for Chinese Language Reform b. Who Were the Gyámi?	28
19	June 1990	Bosat Man <i>Nalanda</i>	<a href="#">Backhill/Peking/Beijing</a>	6
20	Oct. 1990	Victor H. Mair <i>University of Pennsylvania</i>	Introduction and Notes for a Translation of the Ma-wang-tui MSS of the <i>Lao Tzu</i>	68

Previous Issues, *cont.*

Number	Date	Author	Title	Pages
21	Dec. 1990	Philippa Jane Benson <i>Carnegie Mellon University</i>	Two Cross-Cultural Studies on Reading Theory	9, 13
22	March 1991	David Moser <i>University of Michigan</i>	Slips of the Tongue and Pen in Chinese	45
23	April 1991	Victor H. Mair <i>University of Pennsylvania</i>	Tracks of the Tao, Semantics of Zen	10
24	Aug. 1991	David A. Utz <i>University of Pennsylvania</i>	Language, Writing, and Tradition in Iran	24
25	Aug. 1991	Jean DeBernardi <i>University of Alberta</i>	Linguistic Nationalism: The Case of Southern Min	22 + 3 figs.
26	Sept. 1991	JAO Tsung-i <i>Chinese University of Hong Kong</i>	Questions on the Origins of Writing Raised by the Silk Road	10
27	Aug. 1991	Victor H. Mair, ed. <i>University of Pennsylvania</i>	<a href="#"><i>Schriftfestschrift: Essays in Honor of John DeFrancis on His Eightieth Birthday</i></a>	ix, 245
28	Sept. 1991	ZHOU Youguang <i>State Language Commission, Peking</i>	<a href="#"><i>The Family of Chinese Character-Type Scripts (Twenty Members and Four Stages of Development)</i></a>	11
29	Sept. 1991	Victor H. Mair <i>University of Pennsylvania</i>	<a href="#"><i>What Is a Chinese “Dialect/Topolect”? Reflections on Some Key Sino-English Linguistic Terms</i></a>	31
30	Oct. 1991	M. V. Sofronov <i>Institute of Far Eastern Studies, Academy of Sciences, Moscow</i>	Chinese Philology and the Scripts of Central Asia	10

Previous Issues, *cont.*

Number	Date	Author	Title	Pages
31	Oct. 1991	various	Reviews (III)	68
32	Aug. 1992	David McCraw <i>University of Hawaii</i>	How the Chinawoman Lost Her Voice	27
33	Sept. 1992	FENG Lide and Kevin Stuart <i>Chuankou No. 1 Middle School and Qinghai Education College</i>	Interethnic Contact on the Inner Asian Frontier: The Gangou People of Minhe County, Qinghai	34
34	Oct. 1992	Victor H. Mair <i>University of Pennsylvania</i>	Two Papers on Sinolinguistics  1. A Hypothesis Concerning the Origin of the Term <i>fanqie</i> ("Countertomy")  2. East Asian Round-Trip Words	13
35	Nov. 1992	Victor H. Mair <i>University of Pennsylvania</i> with an added note by Edwin G. Pulleyblank	Reviews (IV)	37
36	Feb. 1993	XU Wenkan <i>Hanyu Da Cidian editorial offices, Shanghai</i>	Hanyu Wailaici de Yuyuan Kaozheng he Cidian Bianzuan (Philological Research on the Etymology of Loanwords in Sinitic and Dictionary Compilation)	13
37	March 1993	Tanya Storch <i>University of New Mexico</i>	Chinese Buddhist Historiography and Orality	16
38	April 1993	Victor H. Mair <i>University of Pennsylvania</i>	The Linguistic and Textual Antecedents of <i>The Sutra of the Wise and the Foolish</i>	95

Previous Issues, *cont.*

Number	Date	Author	Title	Pages
39	Aug. 1993	Jordan Paper <i>York University</i>	A Material Case for a Late Bering Strait Crossing Coincident with Pre-Columbian Trans-Pacific Crossings	17
40	Sept. 1993	Michael Carr <i>Center for Language Studies, Otaru University of Commerce</i>	<i>Tiao</i> -Fish through Chinese Dictionaries	68
41	Oct. 1993	Paul Goldin <i>Harvard University</i>	Miching Mallecho: The <i>Zhanguo ce</i> and Classical Rhetoric	27
42	Nov. 1993	Renchin-Jashe Yulshul <i>Tibetan Autonomous Prefecture, Kokonor (Qinghai)</i> and Kevin Stuart <i>Institute of Foreign Languages, Ulaanbaatar, Mongolia</i>	Kham Tibetan Language Materials	39
43	Dec. 1993	MA Quanlin, MA Wanxiang, and MA Zhicheng <i>Xining</i> Edited by Kevin Stuart <i>Kokonor</i>	Salar Language Materials	72
44	Jan. 1994	Dolkun Kamberi <i>Columbia University</i>	The Three Thousand Year Old Charchan Man Preserved at Zaghunluq	15
45	May 1994	Mark Hansell <i>Carleton College</i>	The Sino-Alphabet: The Assimilation of Roman Letters into the Chinese Writing System	28
46	July 1994	various	Reviews (V)	2, 155

Previous Issues, *cont.*

Number	Date	Author	Title	Pages
47	Aug. 1994	Robert S. Bauer <i>Mahidol University Salaya Nakornpathom, Thailand</i>	Sino-Tibetan *kolo “Wheel”	11
48	Sept. 1994	Victor H. Mair <i>University of Pennsylvania</i>	Introduction and Notes for a Complete Translation of the <i>Chuang Tzu</i>	xxxiv, 110
49	Oct. 1994	Ludo Rocher <i>University of Pennsylvania</i>	Orality and Textuality in the Indian Context	28
50	Nov. 1994	YIN Binyong <i>State Language Commission and Institute for Applied Linguistics (Chinese Academy of Social Sciences)</i>	Diyi ge Lading Zimu de Hanyu Pinyin Fang’an Shi Zenyang Chansheng de? [How Was the First Romanized Spelling System for Sinitic Produced?]	7
51	Nov. 1994	HAN Kangxin <i>Institute of Archeology Chinese Academy of Social Sciences</i>	The Study of Ancient Human Skeletons from Xinjiang, China	9 + 4 figs.
52	Nov. 1994	Warren A. Shibles <i>University of Wisconsin Whitewater</i>	<a href="#"><u>Chinese Romanization Systems: IPA Transliteration</u></a>	20
53	Nov. 1994	XU Wenkan <i>Editorial Offices of the Hanyu Da Cidian Shanghai</i>	Guanyu Tuhuoluoren de Qiyuan he Qianxi Wenti [On the Problem of the Origins and Migrations of the Tocharians]	11
54	Nov. 1994	Üjjiyediin Chuluu (Chaolu Wu) <i>University of Toronto</i>	Introduction, Grammar, and Sample Sentences for Jegün Yogur	34
55	Nov. 1994	Üjjiyediin Chuluu (Chaolu Wu) <i>University of Toronto</i>	Introduction, Grammar, and Sample Sentences for Dongxiang	34

Previous Issues, *cont.*

Number	Date	Author	Title	Pages
56	Nov. 1994	Üjjiyediin Chuluu (Chaolu Wu) <i>University of Toronto</i>	Introduction, Grammar, and Sample Sentences for Dagur	36
57	Nov. 1994	Üjjiyediin Chuluu (Chaolu Wu) <i>University of Toronto</i>	Introduction, Grammar, and Sample Sentences for Monguor	31
58	Nov. 1994	Üjjiyediin Chuluu (Chaolu Wu) <i>University of Toronto</i>	Introduction, Grammar, and Sample Sentences for Baoan	28
59	Dec. 1994	Kevin Stuart <i>Qinghai Junior Teachers College;</i> Limusishiden <i>Qinghai Medical College Attached Hospital, Xining, Kokonor (Qinghai)</i>	China's Monguor Minority: Ethnography and Folktales	i, I, 193
60	Dec. 1994	Kevin Stuart, Li Xuewei, and Shelear <i>Qinghai Junior Teachers College, Xining, Kokonor (Qinghai)</i>	China's Dagur Minority: Society, Shamanism, and Folklore	vii, 167
61	Dec. 1994	Kevin Stuart and Li Xuewei <i>Qinghai Junior Teachers College, Xining, Kokonor (Qinghai)</i>	Tales from China's Forest Hunters: Oroqen Folktales	iv, 59
62	Dec. 1994	William C. Hannas <i>Georgetown University</i>	Reflections on the "Unity" of Spoken and Written Chinese and Academic Learning in China	5
63	Dec. 1994	Sarah M. Nelson <i>University of Denver</i>	The Development of Complexity in Prehistoric North China	17

Previous Issues, *cont.*

Number	Date	Author	Title	Pages
64	Jan. 1995	Arne Østmoe <i>Bangkok, Thailand, and Drøbak, Norway</i>	A Germanic-Tai Linguistic Puzzle	81, 6
65	Feb. 1995	Penglin Wang <i>Chinese University of Hong Kong</i>	Indo-European Loanwords in Altaic	28
66	March 1995	ZHU Qingzhi <i>Sichuan University and Peking University</i>	Some Linguistic Evidence for Early Cultural Exchange Between China and India	7
67	April 1995	David McCraw <i>University of Hawaii</i>	Pursuing Zhuangzi as a Rhymemaster: A Snark-Hunt in Eight Fits	38
68	May 1995	Ke Peng, Yanshi Zhu <i>University of Chicago and Tokyo, Japan</i>	New Research on the Origin of Cowries Used in Ancient China	i, 26
69	Jan. 1996	Dpal-Idan-bkra-shis, Keith Slater, <i>et al.</i> <i>Qinghai, Santa Barbara, etc.</i>	Language Materials of China's Monguor Minority: Huzhu Mongghul and Minhe Mangghuer	xi, 266
70	Feb. 1996	David Utz, Xinru Liu, <i>Taylor Carman, Bryan Van Norden, and the Editor Philadelphia, Vassar, etc.</i>	Reviews VI	93
71	March 1996	Erik Zürcher <i>Leiden University</i> Seishi Karashima <i>Soka University</i> Huanming Qin <i>Tang Studies Hotline</i>	Vernacularisms in Medieval Chinese Texts	31 + 11 + 8
72	May 1996	E. Bruce Brooks <i>University of Massachusetts</i>	The Life and Mentorship of Confucius	44

Previous Issues, *cont.*

Number	Date	Author	Title	Pages
73	June 1996	ZHANG Juan, et al., and Kevin Stuart <i>Qinghai, Inner Mongolia, Shanxi, Henan, Liaoning</i>	Blue Cloth and Pearl Deer; Yogur Folklore	iii, 76
74	Jan. 1997	David Moser <i>University of Michigan &amp; Beijing Foreign Studies University</i>	Covert Sexism in Mandarin Chinese	23
75	Feb. 1997	Haun Saussy <i>Stanford University</i>	The Prestige of Writing: Wen <sup>2</sup> , Letter, Picture, Image, Ideography	40
76	Feb. 1997	Patricia Eichenbaum Karetzky <i>Bard College</i>	The Evolution of the Symbolism of the Paradise of the Buddha of Infinite Life and Its Western Origins	28
77	Jan. 1998	Daniel Hsieh <i>Purdue University</i>	The Origin and Nature of the “Nineteen Old Poems”	49
78	Feb. 1998	Narsu <i>Inner Mongolia College of Agriculture &amp; Animal Husbandry</i> Kevin Stuart <i>Qinghai Junior Teachers’ College</i>	Practical Mongolian Sentences (With English Translation)	iii + 49 + ii + 66
79	March 1998	Dennis Grafflin <i>Bates College</i>	A Southeast Asian Voice in the Daodejing?	8
80	July 1998	Taishan Yu <i>Chinese Academy of Social Sciences</i>	A Study of Saka History	ii + 225
81	Sept. 1998	Hera S. Walker <i>Ursinus College (Philadelphia)</i>	Indigenous or Foreign?: A Look at the Origins of the Monkey Hero Sun Wukong	iv + 110

Previous Issues, *cont.*

Number	Date	Author	Title	Pages
82	Sept. 1998	I. S. Gurevich <i>Russian Academy of Sciences</i>	A Fragment of a pien-wen(?) Related to the Cycle “On Buddha’s Life”	15
83	Oct. 1998	Minglang Zhou <i>University of Colorado at Boulder</i>	Tense/Aspect markers in Mandarin and Xiang dialects, and their contact	20
84	Oct. 1998	Ulf Jäger <i>Gronau/Westfalen, Germany</i>	The New Old Mummies from Eastern Central Asia: Ancestors of the Tocharian Knights Depicted on the Buddhist Wallpaintings of Kucha and Turfan? Some Circumstantial Evidence	9
85	Oct. 1998	Mariko Namba Walter <i>University of New England</i>	Tokharian Buddhism in Kucha: Buddhism of Indo-European Centum Speakers in Chinese Turkestan before the 10th Century C.E.	30
86	Oct. 1998	Jidong Yang <i>University of Pennsylvania</i>	Siba: Bronze Age Culture of the Gansu Corridor	18
87	Nov. 1998	Victor H. Mair <i>University of Pennsylvania</i>	Canine Conundrums: Eurasian Dog Ancestor Myths in Historical and Ethnic Perspective	74
88	Dec. 1998	Saroj Kumar Chaudhuri <i>Aichi Gakusen University</i>	Siddham in China and Japan	9, 124
89	Jan. 1999	Alvin Lin <i>Yale University</i>	Writing Taiwanese: The Development of Modern Written Taiwanese	4 + 41 + 4
90	Jan. 1999	Victor H. Mair et al	Reviews VII [including review of The Original Analects]	2, 38
91	Jan. 1999	Victor H. Mair <i>University of Pennsylvania</i>	Phonosymbolism or Etymology: The Case of the Verb “Cop”	28

Previous Issues, *cont.*

Number	Date	Author	Title	Pages
92	Jan. 1999	Christine Louise Lin <i>Dartmouth College</i>	The Presbyterian Church in Taiwan and the Advocacy of Local Autonomy	xiii + 136
93	Jan. 1999	David S. Nivison <i>Stanford University</i>	The Key to the Chronology of the Three Dynasties: The “Modern Text” Bamboo Annals	iv + 68
94	March 1999	Julie Lee Wei <i>Hoover Institute</i>	Correspondence Between the Chinese Calendar Signs and the Phoenician Alphabet	65 + 6
95	May 1999	Victor H. Mair <i>University of Pennsylvania</i>	A Medieval, Central Asian Buddhist Theme in a Late Ming Taoist Tale by Feng Meng-lung	27
96	June 1999	E. Bruce Brooks <i>University of Massachusetts</i>	Alexandrian Motifs in Chinese Texts	14
97	Dec. 1999	LI Shuicheng <i>Peking University</i>	Sino-Western Contact in the Second Millennium BC	iv, 29
98	Jan. 2000	Peter Daniels, Daniel Boucher, and other authors	Reviews VIII	108
99	Feb. 2000	Anthony Barbieri-Low <i>Princeton University</i>	Wheeled Vehicles in the Chinese Bronze Age (c. 2000-741 BC)	v, 98 + 5 color plates
100	Feb. 2000	Wayne Alt <i>Community College of Baltimore County (Essex)</i>	Zhuangzi, Mysticism, and the Rejection of Distinctions	29
101	March 2000	C. Michele Thompson <i>South Connecticut State University</i>	The Viêt Peoples and the Origins of Nom	71, 1

Previous Issues, *cont.*

Number	Date	Author	Title	Pages
102	March 2000	Theresa Jen <i>Bryn Mawr College</i> Ping Xu <i>Baruch College</i>	Penless Chinese Character Reproduction	15
103	June 2000	Carrie E. Reid <i>Middlebury College</i>	Early Chinese Tattoo	52
104	July 2000	David W. Pankenier <i>Lehigh University</i>	Popular Astrology and Border Affairs in Early China	19 + 1 color plate
105	Aug. 2000	Anne Birrell <i>Cambridge University</i>	Postmodernist Theory in Recent Studies of Chinese Literature	31
106	Sept. 2000	Yu Taishan <i>Chinese Academy of Social Sciences</i>	A Hypothesis about the Sources of the Sai Tribes	i, 3, 200
107	Sept. 2000	Jacques deLisle, Adelheid E. Krohne, and the editor	Reviews IX	148 + map
108	Sept. 2000	Ruth H. Chang <i>University of Pennsylvania</i>	Understanding <i>Di</i> and <i>Tian</i> : Deity and Heaven From Shang to Tang	vii, 54
109	Oct. 2000	Conán Dean Carey <i>Stanford University</i>	In Hell the One without Sin is Lord	ii, 60
110	Oct. 2000	Toh Hoong Teik <i>Harvard University</i>	Shaykh 'Alam: The Emperor of Early Sixteenth-Century China	20
111	Nov. 2000	Victor H. Mair <i>University of Pennsylvania</i>	The Need for a New Era	10
112	July 2001	Victor H. Mair <i>University of Pennsylvania</i>	Notes on the Anau Inscription	xi, 93

Previous Issues, *cont.*

Number	Date	Author	Title	Pages
113	Aug. 2001	Ray Collins <i>Chepachet, RI</i> David Kerr <i>Melbourne, FL</i>	Etymology of the Word “Macrobiotic:s” and Its Use in Modern Chinese Scholarship	18
114	March 2002	Ramnath Subbaraman <i>University of Chicago</i>	Beyond the Question of the Monkey Imposter: Indian Influence on the Chinese Novel, <i>The Journey to the West</i>	35
115	April 2002	ZHOU Jixu <i>Sichuan Normal University</i>	Correspondences of Basic Words Between Old Chinese and Proto-Indo-European	8
116	May 2002	LIU Yongquan <i>Institute of Linguistics, Chinese Academy of Social Sciences</i>	On the Problem of Chinese Lettered Words	13
117	May 2002	SHANG Wei <i>Columbia University</i>	<i>Baihua, Guanhua, Fangyan</i> and the May Fourth Reading of <i>Rulin Waishi</i>	10
118	June 2002	Justine T. Snow <i>Port Townsend, WA</i>	Evidence for the Indo-European Origin of Two Ancient Chinese Deities	ii, 75, 1 color, 1 b-w print
119	July 2002	WU Zhen <i>Xinjiang Museum, Ürümchi</i>	“Hu” Non-Chinese as They Appear in the Materials from the Astana Graveyard at Turfan	21, 5 figs.
120	July 2002	Anne Birrell <i>University of Cambridge, Clare Hall</i>	Female-Gendered Myth in the <i>Classic of Mountains and Seas</i>	47
121	July 2002	Mark Edward Lewis <i>Stanford University</i>	Dicing and Divination in Early China	22, 7 figs.

Previous Issues, *cont.*

Number	Date	Author	Title	Pages
122	July 2002	Julie Wilensky <i>Yale Univesity</i>	The Magical <i>Kunlun</i> and “Devil Slaves”: Chinese Perceptions of Dark-skinned People and Africa before 1500	51, 3 figs.
123	Aug. 2002	Paul R. Goldin and the editor	Reviews X	30
124	August 2002	Fredrik T. Hiebert <i>University of Pennsylvania</i> John Colarusso <i>McMaster University</i>	The Context of the Anau Seal  Remarks on the Anau and Niyä Seals	1-34  35-47
125	July 2003	ZHOU Jixu <i>Sichuan Normal University</i> <i>Shanghai Normal University</i>	Correspondences of Cultural Words between Old Chinese and Proto-Indo-European	19
126	Aug. 2003	Tim Miller <i>University of Washington</i>	A Southern Min Word in the <i>Tsu-t’ang chi</i>	14
127	Oct. 2003	Sundeep S. Jhutti <i>Petaluma, California</i>	The Getes	125, 8 color plates
128	Nov. 2003	Yinpo Tschang <i>New York City</i>	On Proto-Shang	18
129	Dec. 2003	Michael Witzel <i>Harvard University</i>	Linguistic Evidence for Cultural Exchange in Prehistoric Western Central Asia	70
130	Feb. 2004	Bede Fahey <i>Fort St. John, British Columbia</i>	Mayan: A Sino-Tibetan Language? A Comparative Study	61

Previous Issues, *cont.*

Number	Date	Author	Title	Pages
131	March 2004	Taishan Yu <i>Chinese Academy of Social Sciences</i>	A History of the Relationship between the Western and Eastern Han, Wei, Jin, Northern and Southern Dynasties and the Western Regions	1, 3, 352
132	April 2004	Kim Hayes <i>Sydney</i>	On the Presence of Non-Chinese at Anyang	11
133	April 2004	John L. Sorenson <i>Brigham Young University</i> Carl L. Johannessen <i>University of Oregon</i>	<i>Scientific Evidence for Pre-Columbian Transoceanic Voyages</i> CD-ROM	48, 166, 19, 15 plates
134	May 2004	Xieyan Hinch <i>Neumädewitz, Germany</i>	Two Steps Toward Digraphia in China	i, 22
135	May 2004	John J. Emerson <i>Portland, Oregon</i>	<i>The Secret History of the Mongols</i> and Western Literature	21
136	May 2004	Serge Papillon <i>Mouvoux, France and Ulaanbaatar, Mongolia</i>	Influences tokhariennes sur la mythologie chinoise	47
137	June 2004	Hoong Teik Toh <i>Harvard University</i>	Some Classical Malay Materials for the Study of the Chinese Novel <i>Journey to the West</i>	64
138	June 2004	Julie Lee Wei <i>San Jose and London</i>	Dogs and Cats: Lessons from Learning Chinese	17
139	June 2004	Taishan Yu <i>Chinese Academy of Social Sciences</i>	A Hypothesis on the Origin of the Yu State	20
140	June 2004	Yinpo Tschang <i>New York City</i>	Shih and Zong: Social Organization in Bronze Age China	28
141	July 2004	Yinpo Tschang <i>New York City</i>	Chaos in Heaven: On the Calendars of Preclassical China	30

Previous Issues, *cont.*

Number	Date	Author	Title	Pages
142	July 2004	Katheryn Linduff, ed. <i>University of Pittsburgh</i>	<i>Silk Road Exchange in China</i>	64
143	July 2004	Victor H. Mair <i>University of Pennsylvania</i>	Sleep in <i>Dream</i> : Soporific Responses to Depression in <i>Story of the Stone</i>	99
144	July 2004	RONG Xinjiang <i>Peking University</i>	Land Route or Sea Route? Commentary on the Study of the Paths of Transmission and Areas in which Buddhism Was Disseminated during the Han Period	32
145	Aug. 2004	the editor	Reviews XI	2, 41
146	Feb. 2005	Hoong Teik Toh <i>Academia Sinica</i>	The - <i>yu</i> Ending in Xiongnu, Xianbei, and Gaoju Onomastica	24
147	March 2005	Hoong Teik Toh <i>Academia Sinica</i>	Ch. <i>Qiong</i> ~ Tib. Khyung; Taoism ~ Bonpo -- Some Questions Related to Early Ethno-Religious History in Sichuan	18
148	April 2005	Lucas Christopoulos <i>Beijing Sports University</i>	Le gréco-bouddhisme et l'art du poing en Chine	52
149	May 2005	Kimberly S. Te Winkle <i>University College, London</i>	A Sacred Trinity: God, Mountain, and Bird: Cultic Practices of the Bronze Age Chengdu Plain	ii, 103 (41 in color)
150	May 2005	Dolkun Kamberi <i>Washington, DC</i>	Uyghurs and Uyghur Identity	44
151	June 2005	Jane Jia SI <i>University of Pennsylvania</i>	The Genealogy of Dictionaries: Producers, Literary Audience, and the Circulation of English Texts in the Treaty Port of Shanghai	44, 4 tables

Previous Issues, *cont.*

Number	Date	Author	Title	Pages
152	June 2005	Denis Mair <i>Seattle</i>	The Dance of Qian and Kun in the <i>Zhouyi</i>	13, 2 figs.
153	July 2005	Alan Piper <i>London (UK)</i>	The Mysterious Origins of the Word “Marihuana”	17
154	July 2005	Serge Papillon <i>Belfort, France</i>	<i>Mythologie sino-européenne</i>	174, 1 plate
155	July 2005	Denis Mair <i>Seattle</i>	Janus-Like Concepts in the <i>Li</i> and <i>Kun</i> Trigrams	8
156	July 2005	Abolqasem Esmailpour <i>Shahid Beheshti</i> <i>University, Tehran</i>	<i>Manichean Gnosis and Creation</i>	157
157	Aug. 2005	Ralph D. Sawyer <i>Independent Scholar</i>	Paradoxical Coexistence of Prognostication and Warfare	13
158	Aug. 2005	Mark Edward Lewis <i>Stanford University</i>	Writings on Warfare Found in Ancient Chinese Tombs	15
159	Aug. 2005	Jens Østergaard Petersen <i>University of Copenhagen</i>	The <i>Zuozhuan</i> Account of the Death of King Zhao of Chu and Its Sources	47
160	Sept. 2005	Matteo Compareti <i>Venice</i>	Literary Evidence for the Identification of Some Common Scenes in Han Funerary Art	14
161	Sept. 2005	Julie Lee Wei <i>London</i>	The Names of the <i>Yi Jing</i> Trigrams: An Inquiry into Their Linguistic Origins	18
162	Sept. 2005	Julie Lee Wei <i>London</i>	Counting and Knotting: Correspondences between Old Chinese and Indo-European	71, map

Previous Issues, *cont.*

Number	Date	Author	Title	Pages
163	Oct. 2005	Julie Lee Wei <i>London</i>	Huangdi and Huntun (the Yellow Emperor and Wonton): A New Hypothesis on Some Figures in Chinese Mythology	44
164	Oct. 2005	Julie Lee Wei <i>London</i>	Shang and Zhou: An Inquiry into the Linguistic Origins of Two Dynastic Names	62
165	Oct. 2005	Julie Lee Wei <i>London</i>	DAO and DE: An Inquiry into the Linguistic Origins of Some Terms in Chinese Philosophy and Morality	51
166	Nov. 2005	Julie Lee Wei <i>London</i> Hodong Kim <i>Seoul National University</i> and David Selvia and the Editor <i>both of the University of Pennsylvania</i>	Reviews XII	i, 63
167	Dec. 2005	ZHOU Jixu <i>Sichuan Normal University</i>	Old Chinese '帝*tees' and Proto-Indo-European “*deus”: Similarity in Religious Ideas and a Common Source in Linguistics	17
168	Dec. 2005	Judith A. Lerner <i>New York City</i>	Aspects of Assimilation: the Funerary Practices and Furnishings of Central Asians in China	51, v, 9 plates
169	Jan. 2006	Victor H. Mair <i>University of Pennsylvania</i>	Conversion Tables for the Three-Volume Edition of the <i>Hanyu Da Cidian</i>	i, 284
170	Feb. 2006	Amber R. Woodward <i>University of Pennsylvania</i>	Learning English, Losing Face, and Taking Over: The Method (or Madness) of Li Yang and His Crazy English	18

## Previous Issues, *cont.*

Number	Date	Author	Title	Pages
<p>Beginning with issue no. 171, <i>Sino-Platonic Papers</i> will be published electronically on the Web. Issues from no. 1 to no. 170, however, will continue to be sold as paper copies until our stock runs out, after which they too will be made available on the Web. For prices of paper copies, see the catalog at <a href="http://www.sino-platonic.org">www.sino-platonic.org</a></p>				
171	June 2006	John DeFrancis <i>University of Hawaii</i>	<a href="#"><u>The Prospects for Chinese Writing Reform</u></a>	26, 3 figs.
172	Aug. 2006	Deborah Beaser	<a href="#"><u>The Outlook for Taiwanese Language Preservation</u></a>	18
173	Oct. 2006	Taishan Yu <i>Chinese Academy of Social Sciences</i>	<a href="#"><u>A Study of the History of the Relationship Between the Western and Eastern Han, Wei, Jin, Northern and Southern Dynasties and the Western Regions</u></a>	167
174	Nov. 2006	Mariko Namba Walter	<a href="#"><u>Sogdians and Buddhism</u></a>	65
175	Dec. 2006	Zhou Jixu <i>Center for East Asian Studies, University of Pennsylvania; Chinese Department, Sichuan Normal University</i>	<a href="#"><u>The Rise of Agricultural Civilization in China: The Disparity between Archeological Discovery and the Documentary Record and Its Explanation</u></a>	38
176	May 2007	Eric Henry <i>University of North Carolina</i>	<a href="#"><u>The Submerged History of Yuè</u></a>	36